

**MINUTES OF A MEETING OF BUSBRIDGE PARISH COUNCIL
HELD AT TUESDAY 19 MAY 2015 IN CLOCK BARN HALL,
HAMBLEDON ROAD BUSBRIDGE**

The meeting was opened at 1815 hours.

Present: Mr John Graves, Chairman
Mrs Pippa English Vice Chairman
Mrs Maxine Long
Mrs Alison Martin

Waverly Borough Council
Councillor R Seaborne

Clerk: Lt Col Leslie G A Clarke

No Members of the public were present

1.	PUBLIC DEBATE <u>Police Report</u> In the absence of a Surrey Police representative no report was received.
b.	<u>Members of the Public</u> No Members of the public were present.
2.	APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE An apology for non attendance was received and accepted in respect of Cllr Westwood and Cllr Byham, WBC.
3.	DECLARATION OF PECUNIARY INTERESTS Cllrs English and Graves declared an interest in agenda item 14 (GU8 Community Broadband Ltd) no other Member declared any Pecuniary interest in any agenda item.
4.	MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON 14 APRIL 2015 The Minutes of the previous meeting held on 14 Apr 15, which had been previously distributed, were AGREED and signed by the Chairman as a true record.
5	MATTERS ARISING All "Matters Arising" were dealt with as agenda items.
6.	ADJOURNMENT FOR PUBLIC DEBATE 10 MINUTES No Members of the Public were present.
7.	FINANCE a. <u>Invoices Paid Out of Committee</u> NIL <u>Invoices for Payment</u> Clerk's Expenses May 15 £70.00 Clerk's Salary May 15 £92.98 Clock Barn Hall Room Hire Apr & May 15 £60.00

<p>c.</p> <p>d.</p>	<p>Members RESOLVED to approve the above payments. <u>Precept Monitoring</u> Members noted and RESOLVED to approve, subject to audit, the Parish Council cash book for the period ending 19 Apr 15. <u>Internal Audit Report Year Ending 31 March 2015</u> Members resolved to defer the approval of the report until the extraordinary meeting on 10 Jun15.</p>
<p>8. PLANNING APPLICATIONS</p> <p>a.</p> <p>b.</p> <p>c.</p>	<p><u>WA/2015/0801 - Plot 16, Milford Hospital, Tuesley Lane – Erection of single detached garage</u> No objection.</p> <p><u>WA/2015/084213-15 Staff Cottages, Milford Hospital – Erection of three dwellings and detached garage with associated works following demolition of three existing dwellings and garages (Revision of WA/2014/2104</u> No objection.</p> <p><u>WA/2015/069 – Dunsfold Park, Stovolds Hill Cranleigh – Erection of 6 buildings to provide for 9,966 sqm of B1(b), B1(c), B and/or B8 flexible use floor space with associated parking, servicing, landscaping and works to existing access road following demolition of existing buildings.</u> Busbridge Parish Council wishes to object to this application for the following reasons. 1. Lack of Detail About Proposed Use of site. The application is for a mix of research & development, light industrial, general industrial and storage & warehousing uses. The vague "mix" needs to be quantified as it will have a major impact on the amount and type of road traffic to and from the site. For example, as well as staff travel, general industrial and warehousing uses will produce significantly more HGV traffic than research & development. 2. Road Transport Infrastructure. The application boasts access to the A3 at Milford to go on to London or Portsmouth. It neglects to mention that the route to Milford from Dunsfold Park includes Marwick and Salt lanes which are in part single track and classified as "unsuitable for HGVs". 3. Rail Access The application also mentions rail access from Farncombe, Godalming, Milford and Witley. Again it fails to mention that to get from all these stations one has to take either the same lanes mentioned above or the B2130, Brighton road, from Godalming which is itself effectively single track in its final approach to Godalming and subject to regular peak period traffic jams. 4. Walking and Cycling. The applicants do admit that staff walking to and from work is unlikely to happen! (p14 para 6.2). They do however continue to pretend that cycling will form a significant mode of transport. The Motion report for the joint Parish Councils of March 2015 explains on P15 para 4.2 why the applicants usage of a very flat area of Cambridgeshire is a completely inappropriate comparison to base this assumption on. 5. Road Transport Infrastructure.</p>

	<p>The application boasts access to the A3 at Milford to go on to London or Portsmouth. It neglects to mention that the route to Milford from Dunsfold Park includes Marwick and Salt lanes which are in part single track and classified as “unsuitable for HGVs”.</p> <p>6. Rail Access</p> <p>The application also mentions rail access from Farncombe, Godalming, Milford and Witley. Again it fails to mention that to get from all these stations one has to take either the same lanes mentioned above or the B2130, Brighton road, from Godalming which is itself effectively single track in its final approach to Godalming and subject to regular peak period traffic jams.</p> <p>7. Walking and Cycling.</p> <p>The applicants do admit that staff walking to and from work is unlikely to happen! (p14 para 6.2). They do however continue to pretend that cycling will form a significant mode of transport.</p> <p>The Motion report for the joint Parish Councils of March 2015 explains on P15 para 4.2 why the applicants usage of a very flat area of Cambridgeshire is a completely inappropriate comparison to base this assumption on.</p> <p>8. Bus service.</p> <p>The bus service to Dunsfold Park is at best once an hour and geared towards a service for school children and shoppers. As such it will not form a significant mode of transport to the site.</p> <p>9. The Framework Travel Plan</p> <p>The size of this document and the ever more inventive, even if equally ineffective, schemes for promoting non car use show that the applicants are well aware that they have a major problem with the location of the site in that it simply does not have an adequate road network serving it to cope with the scale of development proposed.</p> <p>For example.</p> <p>There is little point in providing “season ticket loans” for an inadequate bus service.</p> <p>Working from home.</p> <p>This would be quite valid if the application was for office use but is not really applicable to warehousing and industrial working.</p> <p>“bike breakfasts” and “car share database”....</p> <p>Nice ideas but have they ever been shown to work?</p> <p>Timing of this application</p> <p>Busbridge Parish Council is in complete agreement with Hascombe Parish Council that it is wholly inappropriate to decide any application such as this before the whole issue of housing development at Dunsfold has been addressed in the new Waverley Local Plan.</p>
9.	<p>RECENT CONTENTIOUS PLANNING APPLICATIONS</p> <p>Members discussed a number of recent contentious planning applications and RESOLVED to continue to monitor them.</p>
10.	<p>HIGHWAYS AND BYEWAYS</p> <p>At the 14 Apr 15 meeting the Clerk reported that he had not received a reply to his letter from either Highways Dept nor the Parish's County Cllr.</p> <p>Members resolved that the matter of the Hydestile crossroads HGV signage should be followed up.</p>

	<p>The Clerk reported that despite several telephone calls to the Highways Dept he had not been able to make any progress.</p> <p>Cllr Seaborne offered to provide the Clerk with contact details for the SCC Cllr in order that she could be approached for assistance.</p> <p>The Clerk was asked to send a copy of the letter on the subject to Cllr Young, SCC.</p>
11.	<p>JOINT BURIAL COMMITTEE</p> <p>Cllrs Long and Westwood had nothing to report.</p>
12.	<p>COUNCILLORS' BUSINESS FOR INCLUSION ON THE NEXT AGENDA</p> <p>No issues were raised under this agenda heading.</p>
13.	<p>DUNSFOLD AERODROME – PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT</p> <p>At the 14 Apr 15 meeting (Minute 12 refers) Members noted that the "Motion" study had been produced their report and Busbridge Parish Council had paid their portion of the costs.</p> <p>The Chairman expressed the view that the joint Parish Council campaign is unlikely to be successful as each Council had its own needs.</p> <p>At the 14 Apr 15 meeting (Minute 12 refers) Members resolved that the matter be placed on the agenda for the current meeting.</p> <p>Members debated the issue at agenda item 8b and determined their comments.</p>
14.	<p>GU8 COMMUNITY BROADBAND LTD</p> <p>At the 14 Apr 15 meeting (Minute 13 refers) Members were pleased to receive a presentation from Members of the organisation and noted their request for a contribution of £250.00 and resolved to defer any decision on making a contribution to the next meeting at which all members who have not declared an interest are present.</p> <p>The Clerk reported that he had spoken to the presenters who had stated that they would be prepared to attend to 9 Sep 15 meeting to answer any questions.</p>
15.	<p>SURREY COUNTRYSIDE AND RURAL ENTERPRISE CONFERENCE "SHAPING THE FUTURE OF RURAL SURREY"</p> <p>At the 10 Feb 15 meeting (Minute 17 refers) Members noted that an invitation had been received for a Cllr to attend the above conference which is to be held at the HG Wells Conference Centre, Woking on 26 Mar 15 from 0900 to 1600 hours at a cost of £49.95 per head and Members resolved that Cllr Martin should represent the Council at their expense.</p> <p>At the 14 Apr 15 meeting (Minute 15 refers) Members noted the report on the meeting distributed by Cllr Martin and resolved to defer discussion to the next meeting.</p> <p>Cllr Martin expressed her view that the Conference had been very worthwhile attending.</p>
15.	<p>NOTICE BOARDS – MILFORD HOSPITAL DEVELOPMENT</p> <p>At the 10 Feb 15 meeting (Minute 11 refers) Members resolved that the issue of s106 monies for Notice Boards on the Milford Hospital development</p>

	<p>be investigated once Cllrs Long and Westwood had looked at suggested locations for notice boards at the site.</p> <p>Members resolved to defer any decision on purchasing and installing Notice Boards on the site until the site had been more fully developed.</p> <p>The Clerk was asked in the meantime to obtain the cost of a three panel notice board and once prices have been obtained to write to WBC regarding CIL funding.</p> <p>The Clerk reported and Members noted that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The cost of a three section Notice Board is: £1,495.00 • Hand carved lettering with "Busbridge Parish Council" is: £99.75 • Installation £100.00 • Total cost per Notice Board £1694.75 <p>Members also noted that WBC are not yet in a position to allocate any CIL monies in relation to the Milford Development.</p> <p>Members RESOLVED that a three panel (one locking) Notice Board should be ordered once the details of CIL availability was known and that the Clerk should write to WBC on the issue.</p>
16.	<p>PARISH COUNCIL INSURANCE – RENEWAL</p> <p>Members noted that the Council's present insurers, AON had offered a renewal premium of £225.57 provided the Council entered into a three year arrangement, a reduction of 5% per annum.</p> <p>Members RESOLVED to accept the AON offer and to commit to a three year agreement.</p>
17.	<p>ITEMS FOR INCLUSION ON THE NEXT AGENDA</p> <p>No issues were raised under this agenda heading.</p>
18.	<p>DATE AND VENUE OF NEXT MEETING</p> <p>The next meeting is scheduled to be held on 8 Sep 15 with an extraordinary meeting on 16 Jun 15 for the purpose of considering the Annual Internal Audit report.</p>